

No. ID/GGN/78/82/38903.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Subhash Chander and the management of M/s. The Mahendragarh Central Co-operative Bank Ltd., Mahendragarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (l) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Subhash Chander was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/150/82/38910.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Babu Singh and the management of M/s. R. S. Engineering Works, Plot No. 1B-210, Near Bata Chowk, N. I. T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Babu Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/164/82/38917.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raghu Nath and the management of M/s Durable Springs (India) Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 259, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raghu Nath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/189/82/38924.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jagan and the management of M/s Jeetendra Casting and Engineering Co., Plot No. 205, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jagan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,

Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour Department.